

**Subject:** Re: Requested Session Meeting

**From:** "James Sauer" <jsauer@eastern.edu>

**Date:** Fri, 09 Jul 2004 10:10:02 -0400

**To:** Tom Albrecht III <talbrech@speakeasy.net>

**CC:** Dorean@juno.com,jsauer@eastern.edu,Wfbrauning@aol.com,  
idou@earthlink.net,rob\_miller@urscorp.com,cjcatranis@subnetsys.com

Tom:

The Session continues to request your presence on July 12th. Among the things we want to discuss with you are:

1. How Biblical principles relate to the shower incident.
2. How we and others view what happened there.
3. What it seems to be doing to your reputation, and that of Christ and His church.
4. What steps should be taken to rectify the results of this incident.

Yours in Christ,

Jim Sauer,  
Clerk

At 01:08 PM 7/8/04 -0400, you wrote:

James L Sauer  
Clerk of Session  
Immanuel Presbyterian Church

While the date of July 12 is free for my wife and I, we would like more details as to the nature of this meeting before we consider attending. Meeting with the session "for the purpose of discussing matters" doesn't seem to be appropriate at this point, especially with no supporting details or reasoning given.

What grounds does session think they have for getting involved in a situation that doesn't involve them? Who is actually precipitating this meeting, and what would be the goal of such a meeting, were it to occur?

If process has begun, I'd like to see the charges that have been made against Sarah and I before the meeting. If process hasn't begun, I don't really see any reason to meet, nor can we be compelled to do so.

If the issue to be discussed is between Sarah and Joan Brauning, then it's inappropriate for the session to be involved at this time, as the issue has been resolved, and I'm rather concerned that the session feels the needs to act outside it's authority.

Also, could you please send me a copy of the minutes and any other supporting documentation, including reasoning, requesting us to meet with the session on this issue?

■ Thomas C Albrecht III